RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Survey Paper on Image Denoising Using Spatial Statistic son Pixel

Varun Nigam, Neelesh Gupta, Neetu Sharma
M.Tech, Scholar, TIEIT, Bhopal, India
HOD, ECE, TIEIT, Bhopal
A.P., ECE, TIEIT

Abstract:
The classical non-local means image denoising approach, the value of a pixel is determined based on the weighted average of other pixels, where the weights are determined based on a fixed isotropic ally weighted similarity function between the local neighbourhoods. It is demonstrate that noticeably improved perceptual quality can be achieved through the use of adaptive anisotropic ally weighted similarity functions between local neighbourhoods. This is accomplished by adapting the similarity weighing function in an anisotropic manner based on the perceptual characteristics of the underlying image content derived efficiently based on the Mexican Hat wavelet. Experimental results show that the it can be used to provide improved perceptual quality in the denoised image both quantitatively and qualitatively when compared to existing methods.
Index Terms- Image Denoising, Noise, Wavelet Transform, Image Processing, PSNR

I. INTRODUCTION

The image each has bellow which is not easily eliminated in twig processing. According to tangible be featured side, resound statistical acquisition and frequency spectrum distribution rule, people effort developed many methods of deletion noises, which approximately are divided into chink and change off fields. The space parade-ground is details command tyrannizes on the far-out compute, and processes the image grey value [1], like neighbourhood average method, wiener filter, and centre value filter and so on. The every other region is oversight in the transformation field of images, and the coefficients after transformation are processed. Adjust the desire of eliminating noise is achieved by inverse transformation, like wavelet transform [2][3]. These methods normally have a dilemma, namely the noise smoothness and holding of image edge and detail information. If noise composed cut is accommodating, image illegibility is axiomatically caused, and if the image outline is clear, the noise smooth effect is inevitably bad, which consider one aspect but lose another. An Image is continually deflected by blare in its acquit ion and transmission. Trust in denoising is old to company the additive thunder period maintenance as favourably as possible the important active features. In the prehistoric length of existence near has been a proper group of discontinuance on flutter Thresholding and time alternate for signal de-noising recompense suggestion provides an appropriate basis for separating Noisy signal from the image signal. The momentum is stray as the perturbation move is pleasurable at conduct compaction, the thick coefficient are up fastened seemly for to boom and expansive coefficient due to important signal features [4].A. Magnetic Resonance Imaging.

These succinct coefficients substructure be threshold appoint marvellous the significant features of the image. Noise is a undirected hard cash, visible as grain in film and pixel level variations in digital images. It arises immigrant the asseverate of unshod physics that is the nature of light and energy of heat inside image sensors and amplifiers.

The image usually has noise which is not easily eliminated in image processing. According to actual image characteristic, noise statistical property and frequency spectrum distribution rule, people have developed many methods of eliminating noises, which approximately are divided into space and transformation fields The space field is data operation carried on the original image, and processes the image grey value, like Neighbourhood average method, wiener filter, centre value filter and so on. The transformation field is management in the transformation field of images, and the coefficients after transformation are processed. Then the aim of eliminating noise is achieved by inverse transformation, like wavelet Transform.

Digital image processing means the processing of a picture by a digital computer. Lighting and camera properties are the factors which affect an image. Noise is the main factor which degrades the quality of the image. The important features of the image are lost by noise. Noise is introduced into images at the time of transferring and acquiring image. Two noise models can effectively characterize most noise

added to images: additive Gaussian noise and Impulsive noise. Gaussian noise is added to the images at the time of image acquisition, whereas impulsive noise is added at the time of transmitting image data over an unsecure communication channel, while it can also be added by acquiring. Gaussian noise is defined as a set of values taken from a zero mean Gaussian distribution which are added to each pixel value, whereas impulsive noise is defined as changing a part of the pixel value with random ones [5]. Enhancing the image quality without loss of features of the image is the main task of denoising. Denoising is the one of the pre-processing stage of the image processing. The number of noise suppression algorithms has been developed. Due to the low computational cost benefits mean filter, median filter and their modified approaches have been usually used. Impulsive noise removal consists of detecting the noisy pixel taking into account the edges and substituting the noisy pixel with the best approximation of the correct pixel value based on the neighbourhood, whereas Gaussian noise removal consists of detecting the edges, preserve them for blurring and smoothing the locally smooth and distinct areas. An image represents a degraded version of an original image due to the additive noise which is caused by a noise sensor, recording process, communication channels and any combination of them [6].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Dabov et al. [7] proposed a novel image Denoising strategy based on an enhanced sparse Representation in transform domain. The enhancement of the sparsely is achieved by grouping similar 2-Dimage fragments into 3-D data arrays which we call "groups." They realize it using the three successive steps: 3-D transformation of a group, shrinkage of the transform spectrum, and inverse 3-D transformation. The filtered blocks are then returned to their original positions. Because these blocks are overlapping, for each pixel, they obtain many different estimates which need to be combined. Their experimental results demonstrate that this computationally scalable algorithm achieves state-of-the art denoising performance in terms of both peak signal-to-noise ratio and subjective visual quality.

KinTak et al.[8] proposes a novel denoising algorithm according to the image-surface fitting after the Non-Uniform Triangular Partition. A given image can automatically be partitioned into different triangles with different dimensions and the vicariate polynomial is used to do the Optimal Quadratic Approximation to gray values of image in each sub-triangle. When the approximation error and bi vitiate polynomial are specified, a specific image partition result is obtained. The partitioning codes obtained can be used to reconstruct the original image. In general, the smallest the error, the better approximation effect is obtained. They should select a suitable error to get m the best approximation to original image instead of the noised image. On the other hand, in order to avoid the triangle effect after denoising and obtain a better denoising result, the interpolation method is used before and after the Denoising by Non-Uniform Triangular Partition. Experimental results show that this method can obtain a better denoising effect by comparing with other methods to some extend according to the authors.

V.NagaPrudhvi Raj et al.[9] suggest Medical Diagnosis operations such as feature extraction and object recognition will play the key role. These tasks will become difficult if the images are corrupted with noises. So the development of effective algorithms for noise removal became an important research area in present days according to the authors. They proposed denoising method which uses Undecimated Wavelet Transform to decompose the image and we performed the shrinkage operation to eliminate the noise from the noisy image. In the shrinkage step they used semi-soft and stein Thresholding operators along with traditional hard and soft Thresholding operators and verified the suitability of different wavelet families for the denoising of medical images. Their results proved that the denoised image using UDWT (Undecimated Discrete Wavelet Transform) have a better balance between smoothness and accuracy than the DWT. We used the SSIM (Structural similarity index measure) along with PSNR to assess the quality of denoised images.

R. Harrabi et al. [10] analyzed the ineffectiveness Of isotropic and anisotropic diffusion and extended the work into the regular anisotropic diffusion. Isotropic diffusion is used at locations with low gradient and total variation based diffusion is used along likely edges. These denoising techniques have been applied to textured and satellite images to illustrate the methodology. The PSNR for the test data available is evaluated and the classification accuracy from these denoising techniques is validated. Their experimental results demonstrate the superiority of the regular anisotropic diffusion for image denoising.

Guo-Duo Zhang et al. [11] suggest that the purpose of image denoising is obtained from the degraded Image noise removal, restore the original image. Traditional denoising methods can filter noise, but at the same time they make the image details fuzzy. The support vector machine based method for image

denoising is a good method thus it can not only wipe of noise, but also retain the image detail. Support vector machine is a machine learning, which based on statistical learning theory, and this method is widely applied to solve classification problems. Their paper proposes an image denoising method based on support vector regression. Their simulation results show that the method can save the image detail better, restore the original image and remove noise.

III.THEORY OF IMAGE DENOISING

Image denoising is an important image processing task, both as a process itself, and as a component in other processes. Very many ways to denoise an image or a set of data exists. The main properties of a good image denoising model are that it will remove noise while preserving edges. Traditionally, linear models have been used. One common approach is to use a Gaussian filter, or equivalently solving the heat-equation with the noisy image as input-data, i.e. a linear, 2nd order PDE-model. For some purposes this kind of denoising is adequate. One big advantage of linear noise removal models is the speed. But a backdraw of the linear models is that they are not able to preserve edges in a good manner: edges, which are recognized as discontinuities in the image, are smeared out. Nonlinear models on the other hand can handle edges in a much better way than linear models can. One popular model for nonlinear image denoising is the Total Variation (TV)-filter, introduced by Rudin, Osher and Fatemi. This filter is very good at preserving edges, but smoothly varying regions in the input image are transformed into piecewise constant regions in the output image. [12].

IV.IMAGE NOISE

Noise in images is caused by the random fluctuations in brightness or colour information. Noise represents unwanted information which degrades the image quality. Noise is defined as a
Process which affects the acquired image quality
That is being not a part of the original image content. [13]Digital image noise may occur due to various sources. During acquisition process, digital images convert optical signals into electrical one and then to digital signals and are one process by which the noise is introduced in digital images. Due to natural phenomena at conversion process each stage experiences a fluctuation that adds a random value to the intensity of a pixel in a resulting image.
In general image noise is regarded as an undesirable by-product of image capture.
The types of Noise are following:-
• Amplifier noise (Gaussian noise)
• Salt-and-pepper noise
• Shot noise (Poisson noise)
• Speckle noise

A. Gaussian Noise

Gaussian noise is statistical in nature. Its probability density function equal to that of normal distribution, which is otherwise called as Gaussian distribution. In this type of noise, values of that the noise are being Gaussian-distributed. A special case of Gaussian noise is white Gaussian noise, in which the values always are statistically independent. For application purpose, Gaussian noise is also used as additive white noise to produce additive white Gaussian noise. Gaussian noise is commonly defined as the noise with a Gaussian amplitude distribution, which states that nothing the correlation of the noise in time or the spectral density of noise. Gaussian noise is otherwise said as white noise which describes the correlation of noise. Gaussian noise is sometimes equated to be of white Gaussian noise, but it may not necessarily the case.

B.Salt and Pepper Noise

Salt & pepper noise model, there is only two possible values „a‟ and „b‟. The probability of Getting each of them is less than 0.1 (else, the noise would greatly dominate the image). For 8 Bit/pixel image, the intensity value for pepper noise typically found nearer to 0 and for salt noise it is near to 255. Salt and pepper noise is a generalized form of noise typically seen in images. In image criteria the noise itself represents as randomly occurring white and black pixels. An effective noise reduction algorithm for this type of noise involves the usage of a median filter, morphological filter. Salt and pepper noise occurs in images under situations where quick transients, such as faulty switching take place. This type of noise can be caused by malfunctioning of analog-to-digital converter in cameras, bit errors in transmission, etc.

C.Poisson Noise

Poisson noise is also known as shot noise. It is a
Type of electronic noise. Poisson noise occur under
The situations where there is a statistical fluctuation in the measurement caused either due to finite number of particles like electron in an electronic circuit that carry energy, or by the photons in an optical device.

D. Speckle Noise
Speckle noise is a type of granular noise that commonly exists in and causes degradation in the image quality .Speckle noise tends to damage the image being acquired from the active radar as well as synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images. Due to

random fluctuations in the return signal from an object in conventional radar that is not big as single image-processing element. Speckle noise occurs. Speckle noise increases the mean grey level of a local area. Speckle noise is more serious issue, causing difficulties for image interpretation in SAR images .It is mainly due to coherent processing of backscattered signals from multiple distributed targets.

V.LIMITATIONS IN IMAGE DENOISING

The two main limitations in image accuracy are categorized as blur and noise. Blur is intrinsic to image acquisition systems, as digital images have a finite number of samples and must satisfy the Shannon-Nyquist sampling conditions [14]. The second main image perturbation is noise.

A. Digital Images and Noise: The need for efficient image restoration methods has grown with the massive production of digital images and movies of all kinds, often taken in poor conditions. No matter how good cameras are, an image improvement is always desirable to extend their range of action.

B.Denoising algorithms see no difference between small details and noise, and therefore remove them. In many cases, they create new distortions and the researchers are so much used to them as to have created a taxonomy of denoising artefacts ringing", blur", staircase effect", checkerboard effect", wavelet outliers", etc.[15].

C. A digital image is generally encoded as a matrix of grey level or colour values. In the case of a movie, this matrix has three dimensions, the third one corresponding to time. Each pair (i; $u(i)$) where $u(i)$ is the value at i is called pixel, for picture element.

VI.CONCLUSION

A variety of survey has been done in this paper. There is discussed various denoising algorithms and their performance metrics are compared with individually. The nonlocal means with adaptability shows very good results in image denoising. Though the applications are different, the various denoising schemes perform within their limit. There must be a technique which can be applied globally for all types of noisy images irrespective of the applications. The future research gives the scope for such denoising algorithm which also helps in preserving the necessary sharp details of the image.

REFERENCES

[1]. D.L. Donoho, De-Noising by Soft Thresholding, IEEE Trans. Info.Theory 43, pp. 933-936, 1993.
[2]. Javier Portilla, VasilyStrela, Martin J. Wainwright, Eero P.Simoncelli, Adaptive Wiener Denoising using a Gaussian Scale Mixture Model in the wavelet Domain, Proceedings of the 8thInternational Conference of Image ProcessingThessaloniki, Greece.October 2001.
[3]. S. Grace Chang, Bin Yu and M. Vattereli, Adaptive WaveletThresholding for Image Denoising and Compression, IEEETrans.Image Processing, vol. 9, pp. 1532-1546, Sept. 2000.
[4]. D.L. Donoho and I.M. Johnstone, Adapting to unknown smoothness via wavelet shrinkage, Journal of American StatisticalAssoc., Vol. 90, no. 432, pp 1200-1224, Dec. 1995.
[5]. S. Grace Chang, Bin Yu and M. Vattereli, Wavelet Thresholdingfor Multiple Noisy Image Copies, IEEE Trans. Image Processing,vol. 9, pp.1631- 1635, Sept. 2000
[6]. Dabov S. Grace Chang, Bin Yu and M. Vattereli, Spatially AdaptiveWavelet Thresholding with Context Modeling for Image Denoising,, IEEE Trans. Image Processing, vol. 9, pp. 1522-153Sept. 2000.
[7]. KinTak ,M. Vattereli and J. Kovacevic, Wavelets and Subband Coding.Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice Hall, 1995.
[8]. Maarten Jansen, Noise Reduction by Wavelet Thresholding,Springer –Verlag New York Inc. - 2001.
[9]. V.NagaPrudhvi Raj H. Choi and R. G. Baraniuk, (2004) "Multiple wavelet basis imagedenoising using Besov ball projections," IEEE Signal ProcessingLetters, Vol. 11, No. 9, 2004, pp. 717–720.
[10]. R. Harrabi Z. Tongzhou; W. Yanli R. Ying; L. Yalan "Approach of ImageDenoising Based on Discrete Multi-wavelet Transform. International Workshop on Intelligent Systems and Applications,2009, pp: 1-4.
[11]. Guo-Duo Zhang L. Dalong , S. Simske, R.M. Mersereau. "Image Denoising Through Support Vector Regression. Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, Vol. 4, 2007, pp.425-428.
[12]. J. Hui-Yan, C. Zhen-Yu, H. Yan, Z. Xiao-Jie Zhou; C. Tian-You. "Research on image denoising methods based on wavelet transform and rolling-ball algorithm". Proceedings of the International Conference on Wavelet Analysis and Pattern

Recognition, Beijing, China, 2007,pp. 1604-1607.

[13]. A. Buades, B. Coll, and J. Morel. “Nonlocal image and movie denoising”. International Journal of Computer Vision, Vol. 76, No.2, 2008, PP. 123–139.

[14]. M. Mahmoudi and G. Sapiro. “Fast image and video denoising via nonlocal means of similar neighborhoods”. IEEE Signal ProcessingLetters, Vol. 12, No. 12, 2005, pp. 839–842.

[15]. Raj, V.N.P.; Venkateswarlu, T., "Denoising of medical images using undecimated wavelet transform," Recent Advances inIntelligent Computational Systems (RAICS), 2011 IEEE , vol., no.,pp.483,488, 22-24 Sept. 2011.